Date: 20110405

**Docket: IMM-4692-10** 

**Citation: 2011 FC 414** 

[UNREVISED CERTIFIED ENGLISH TRANSLATION]

Montréal, Quebec, December 5, 2011

**PRESENT:** The Honourable Mr. Justice Beaudry

**BETWEEN:** 

#### FRITZNEL FRANÇOIS

**Applicant** 

#### and

# THE MINISTER OF CITIZENSHIP AND IMMIGRATION

Respondent

#### **REASONS FOR ORDER AND ORDER**

- [1] This is an application for judicial review of the negative decision dated July 29, 2010, by the Refugee Protection Division of the Immigration and Refugee Board (the panel).
- [2] The application for judicial review will be dismissed for the following reasons.

- [3] Fritznel François (the applicant), a citizen of Haiti, fears that he will be persecuted if he were to return to his country, because he alleges that he was a member of the MOCHRENA political group from March 1997 to February 2000.
- [4] He fled Haiti for the United States in 2000, where he filed a claim for asylum that was refused. The applicant travelled to Canada in May 2008 and claimed refugee protection in Canada.
- [5] The panel found the applicant not to be credible by reason of his lack of knowledge of the MOCHRENA party, of which he claimed to have been a member for three years, and of the steps he took for his asylum claim in the United States.
- [6] Moreover, the panel, citing *Thirunavukkarasu v. Canada* (*Minister of Employment and Immigration*) (*C.A.*), [1994] 1 F.C. 589, was also of the view that an internal flight alternative (IFA) was available to him, either in Jérémie or Les Cayes, cities that are far from Port-de-Paix, where the persecution took place.
- [7] The standard of review in similar matters is reasonableness (*Dunsmuir v. New Brunswick*, 2008 SCC 9, [2008] 1 S.C.R. 190).
- [8] After reviewing the evidence, the transcript as well as the parties' submissions, I believe that the reasons given by the panel with regard to the applicant's lack of credibility are justified.

- [9] Given the applicant's vague and inaccurate answers about the MOCHRENA party, the panel was justified in finding that it did not believe his story.
- [10] As to the possibility of an IFA, the panel correctly directed itself in law in applying the principles set out by the case law to the case that was before it. The applicant was given the opportunity to bolster his evidence and his personal situation was taken into consideration.
- [11] It is not the function of the Court to re-weigh the evidence when the panel's reasons and findings are supported by the evidence, as in the case at bar. This decision is very succinct. It could have included additional details, but it cannot be described as being unreasonable.
- [12] The parties did not submit any question for certification and this matter does not contain any.

## **ORDER**

	THE COURT ORDERS	that the application f	for judicial review	be dismissed.	No question
is certi	fied.				

"Michel Beaudry"
Judge

Certified true translation

Sebastian Desbarats, Translator

#### **FEDERAL COURT**

### **SOLICITORS OF RECORD**

**DOCKET:** IMM-4692-10

**STYLE OF CAUSE:** FRITZNEL FRANÇOIS v. MCI

PLACE OF HEARING: Montréal, Quebec

**DATE OF HEARING:** April 5, 2011

**REASONS FOR ORDER** 

**AND ORDER:** BEAUDRY J.

**DATED:** April 5, 2011

**APPEARANCES**:

Claude Whalen FOR THE APPLICANT

Diane Lemery FOR THE RESPONDENT

**SOLICITORS OF RECORD:** 

Claude Whalen FOR THE APPLICANT

Montréal, Quebec

Myles J. Kirvan FOR THE RESPONDENT

Deputy Attorney General of Canada

Montréal, Quebec