Date: 20070823

**Docket: T-1059-05** 

**Citation: 2007 FC 851** 

**BETWEEN:** 

#### **BARRY K. PUGH**

**Applicant** 

and

### THE MINISTER OF PUBLIC WORKS AND GOVERNMENT SERVICES

Respondent

### **ASSESSMENT OF COSTS - REASONS**

# Charles E. Stinson Assessment Officer

- [1] This application for judicial review of a decision of the Public Service Commission Appeal Board confirming the screening of the Applicant out of a job competition was dismissed with costs. I issued a timetable for written disposition of the assessment of the Respondent's bill of costs.
- [2] The Applicant did not file any materials in response to the Respondent's materials. My view, often expressed in comparable circumstances, is that the *Federal Courts Rules* do not contemplate a litigant benefiting by having an assessment officer step away from a neutral position to act as the litigant's advocate in challenging given items in a bill of costs. However, the assessment officer cannot certify unlawful items, i.e. those outside the authority of the judgment and the tariff.

Page: 2

I examined each item claimed in the bill of costs and the supporting materials within those

parameters.

[3] The Respondent claimed an item 4 (counsel fee) of \$480.00 and a disbursement for \$45.00

associated with a motion to remove certain respondents. The consent order was silent on costs.

I disallow these two amounts further to my conclusion in Balisky v. Canada (Minister of Natural

Resources), [2004] F.C.J. No. 536 (A.O.) at para. 6, and Aird v. Country Park Village Properties

(Mainland) Ltd., [2005] F.C.J. No. 1426 (A.O.) at para 10. There were other items which might

have attracted disagreement, but their total amount is generally arguable as reasonable within the

limits of the award of costs. The Respondent's bill of costs, presented at \$3,435.95, is assessed and

allowed at \$2,910.95.

"Charles E. Stinson"
Assessment Officer

## **FEDERAL COURT**

### **SOLICITORS OF RECORD**

**DOCKET:** T-1059-05

**STYLE OF CAUSE:** BARRY K. PUGH v. THE MINISTER OF PUBLIC

WORKS AND GOVERNMENT SERVICES

ASSESSMENT OF COSTS IN WRITING WITHOUT PERSONAL APPERANCE OF THE PARTIES

**REASONS FOR ASSESSMENT OF COSTS:** CHARLES E. STINSON

**DATED:** August 23, 2007

**WRITTEN REPRESENTATIONS:** 

n/a FOR THE APPLICANT

Mr. Alexandre Kaufman FOR THE RESPONDENTS

**SOLICITORS OF RECORD:** 

n/a FOR THE APPLICANT

John H. Sims, Q.C. FOR THE RESPONDENTS

Deputy Attorney General of Canada